



In the rush to grant the FCC greater control over broadcasters, no one has noticed that the FCC's very existence is a violation of free speech. *continued* ▶

by Robert Garmong

Since the infamous "wardrobe malfunction" at the Super Bowl, there have been strident demands for a crackdown by a tougher, stricter Federal Communication Commission. The FCC's various commissioners now call for the power to regulate cable television, in addition to broadcast media. In June Congress voted to increase the maximum fine the FCC can impose tenfold, from \$27,500 to \$275,000. Commissioner Michael Copps has vowed that he will not be satisfied until "I see us send one or two...cases for license revocation."

In this headlong rush to expand the government's authority over the media, no one has paused to consider whether the government should have such authority in the first place. No one has noticed that the very existence of the FCC is a flagrant violation of the right to free speech.

Throughout history the norm was tyranny over the mind. Men were allowed to speak only by government imprimatur, until America's first amendment established free-

This manifesto originally appeared as an Ayn Rand Institute editorial.

dom of speech as a central premise of our nation. The first amendment declares that "Congress shall make no law...abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press." This language could not be clearer, or more absolute: no matter who disagrees with you or considers your speech offensive, the government may not abridge your right to say it.

Free speech is the protection of the rational mind and its literary, intellectual, and scientific products.

Free speech is the protection of the rational mind and its literary, intellectual, and scientific products. It means the absolute right to express one's views, so long as one does not violate the rights of others. Free speech means no American should fear the fate of Galileo, persecuted for daring to assert scientific truths that contradicted the official Church's doctrines, nor that of Socrates, put to death for offending the state.

Yet the FCC exists to dictate what can be said on-air. Each year since the early days of radio, every broadcast station must apply to the FCC for permission to use the airwaves. In exchange for their licenses, broadcasters must promise to serve the "public interest." Stations that the FCC regards as having failed to do so can be fined, or even shut down, at the FCC's sole discretion.

The putative justification for the FCC's regulation of broadcasters is that the airwaves are public property. But just as the government does not own—and so has no legiti-

iss. 8.05 i 3/8 mate control over—the presses of the New York Times, so it has no business regulating what may be broadcast over airwaves. The airwaves, which would be useless without the transmission networks created by radio and television stations, belong to the individuals and companies that developed them. Broadcasters should not have to plead to the authorities for annual licenses, any more than a homeowner should have to beg for an annual license to use the patch of land he has developed.

Broadcasters should not have to plead...
for annual licenses, any more than a homeowner
should have to beg for an annual license
to use the patch of land he has developed.

No other media in America is subjected to such persecution. If the *New York Times* or Barnes & Noble publishes and distributes content some members of the public disapprove of, the government cannot threaten them with fines or penalties. But let Howard Stern offend a listener, and Clear Channel is hammered with over a million dollars in fines.

So far, only "indecency" has been targeted by the FCC's crackdown—but politicians on both sides of the aisle have begun whispering demands to censor PBS or the Fox News Channel, on the grounds that their alleged biases violate the "public interest." Both the liberals, with their political correctness, and the conservatives, with their puritanical

 $i\omega$. 8.05 i \bowtie Θ Θ

religious ethic, claim to speak for the "public interest." Can it be long before the two sides begin the battle over which ideas and values Americans are allowed to see and hear on-air?

As the FCC wields its club ever more fiercely, broadcasters are running scared. Clear Channel has canceled its "shock-jock" programs. Skittish station-managers have bleeped out words like "urinate," "damn," and "orgy" from the Rush Limbaugh program. Most ominous, The National Association of Broadcasters convened a "Summit on Responsible Programming" to define industry-wide standards of self-censorship.

America was founded on the freedom of speech—on the right and responsibility of the individual to decide what to say, and what to listen to. Yet in the name of protecting ourselves from being offended—and almost without noticing it—we are well on the way to surrendering that crucial right to the control of the omnipotent state.

iss. 8.05 | i | 🖂 | 🚊 | 🤤

info

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Robert Garmong, Ph.D. is a writer for the Ayn Rand Institute in Irvine, CA. The Institute promotes Objectivism, the philosophy of Ayn Rand, author of *Atlas Shrugged* and *The Fountainhead*. Robert Garmong received his B.A. in political science and economics from the University of Chicago and his Ph.D. in philosophy from the University of Texas. He is also a graduate of the Objectivist Graduate Center. He is now working on his second book: *The Concept of Liberty: Locke, Mill, Rawls and Rand*.

DOWNLOAD THIS

This manifesto is available from http://changethis.com/8.AbolishTheFCC

SEND THIS

Click here to pass along a copy of this manifesto to others. http://changethis.com/8.AbolishTheFCC/email

SUBSCRIBE

Learn about our latest manifestos as soon as they are available. Sign up for our free newsletter and be notified by email. http://changethis.com/subscribe



info

WHAT YOU CAN DO

You are given the unlimited right to print this manifesto and to distribute it electronically (via email, your website, or any other means). You can print out pages and put them in your favorite coffee shop's windows or your doctor's waiting room. You can transcribe the author's words onto the sidewalk, or you can hand out copies to everyone you meet. You may not alter this manifesto in any way, though, and you may not charge for it.

NAVIGATION & USER TIPS

Move around this manifesto by using your keyboard arrow keys or click on the right arrow (\rightarrow) for the next page and the left arrow (\leftarrow). To send this by email, just click on .

KEYBOARD SHORTCUTS	PC	MAC
Zoom in (Larger view)	[CTL][+]	[光] [+]
Zoom out	[CTL] [-]	[光] [-]
Full screen/Normal screen view	[CTL] [L]	[X][L]

BORN ON DATE

This document was created on 15 October 2004 and is based on the best information available at that time. To check for updates, please click here to visit http://changethis.com/8.AbolishTheFCC

| iss. 8.05 | i | 🖂 | 🚊 | 🤄

info





The copyright in this work belongs to the author, who is solely responsible for the content. Please direct content feedback or permissions questions to the author.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution–NonCommercial–NoDerivs License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.0 or send a letter to Creative Commons, 559 Nathan Abbott Way, Stanford, California 94305, USA.

Cover image from stock.xchng http://www.sxc.hu/

ABOUT CHANGETHIS

ChangeThis is a vehicle, not a publisher. We make it easy for big ideas to spread. While the authors we work with are responsible for their own work, they don't necessarily agree with everything available in ChangeThis format. But you knew that already.

